



As part of its Golden Jubilee Celebrations

## Madras Institute of Development Studies (MIDS)

in collaboration with

## New Political Economy Initiative, IIT-Bombay

invites you to a day-long workshop on

# **Industrial Policy in Indian States**

Date

Wednesday, 20 March 2024, 9:30 am to 5:30 pm

Venue

## **Conference Hall, Krea University**

Admin Office, No. 196, T.T.K. Road Alwarpet, Chennai 600018

## **Concept Note**

There has been a resurgence of Industrial policy across the world. While economic rationale and policy instruments proposed may vary given the needs of each country, Industrial policy is back in development discourse.

The Inflation Reduction Act in the United States, the European Green Deal and China's Belt and Road Initiative are some examples. Industrial policy flourishes. India's Atmanirbhar Bharat and PLI schemes resonate the country's direction towards industrial policy. However, the standard rationales and instruments of industrial policy widely vary between Global South and Global North. While India cannot go back to its interventionist strategy of the 1980s rooted in reckless protection, black markets, and rampant rent-seeking, it certainly needs to embrace a new industrial policy. India is today under-industrialised and deindustrialized given its per capita income. Contrary to received academic wisdom, opening up India's economy didn't result in labour-intensive industrialization. Manufacturing technologies have instead become more capital and skill-intensive. Integration with the global economy has only intensified competition resulting in "premature deindustrialization" as is the case with many lower income countries.

The Make in India strategy came up with the objective of increasing the share of manufacturing to 25% and generating 100 million jobs but did not take off. The strategy at best worked in improving India's position in global ranking in ease of doing business. Instead of augmenting value addition, India has now become an import-dependent economy. Evidence suggests that the emphasis was more on fintech and IT than manufacturing. Indian manufacturing is suffering from low value addition as India is increasingly becoming a place for assembling rather than real manufacturing by producing parts and creating value addition. For instance, on average, a mobile phone made on our shores has around 80-85 per cent of imported content (India Cellular and Electronics Association, 2022). There is now a real danger India may get locked into a structurally-dependent position in the world economy unless the appropriate policy environment is created.

During COVID, India launched Atmanirbhar Bharat Abhiyan—and restricted Chinese imports—but soon reversed it, as it hurt its domestic production. Even the foreign direct investment (FDI) India received goes to acquiring existing productive assets, firms and brands, rather than augmenting new investment. India's tryst with New Industrial policy has to take into account these challenges. But it also requires its own vision rather than a mere return to the policies of the past or a mere copying of practices in East Asia. The Madras Institute of Development Studies (MIDS) in collaboration with Indian Institute of Technology (IIT-B) and Guidance, Tamil Nadu proposes to organise a day-long workshop to deliberate on the following themes.

## **Policy Instruments**

What are the lessons that India can learn from global experience in adopting policy instruments to generate manufacturing jobs? While investment grants, subsidies, tax and duty incentives are important, building capacities and value chains between big/foreign and small/local firms are just as important. A portfolio of business services, including marketing, management and technical assistance, seed capital/loans for directed technologies are new entries in the policy lists in many countries. But a voluminous literature shows, successful industrial policy has always combined these measures with strict performance standards for firms and time limits for subsidies given the threat of rent-seeking.

#### Key Questions

- How might these be built in at the state level given the threat of capital flight to other states and thus a race to the bottom?
- How do we ensure that state resources will actually be used to build local firm capacities and sustainably generate jobs that can compete in domestic and foreign markets?
- Given that the economic rationale for industrial policy remains the same for services, how can industrial policy be aimed at the service sector?

### **Sub-national Variation**

Given the multilevel governance, India has to coordinate its strategies across the levels of governing units particularly with its states. Marketoriented economic reforms since the 1990s were accompanied by the downscaling of resource mobilisation responsibilities to state governments. Until then, the union government had played a key role in mobilising resources for investment and in locating economic activity. Since the 1990s, the union government shifted the onus of resource mobilisation considerably to state governments which were encouraged to attract private investments through various incentives. Many state governments have managed to attract a number of multinational firms to set up operations, and have also drawn investments into urban infrastructure development through promotion of public–private partnerships (PPPs). Any industrial policy thus requires multilevel coordination across governance units. Given India's federal structure, it is all the more important how the industrial policy of the states aligns with the policies at the Centre.

Key Questions

- Given the vast differences in state capacities and manufacturing experience, how do we create policy space for industrial policy at the state level?
- Can we imagine bilateral agreements between states so that destructive competition between states might be avoided?

## Sector-specific Industrial Policies

India is unique in having a large number of relatively high-tech firms for a country at its level of development in terms of per capita income. While this is a huge matter of pride that enables the nation to meet its current account deficit, this high-tech prowess is also embedded in a set of inequalities in social capital and a persistent "dualism" in our economy. This leaves India in a situation where we need industrial policies that cater to two very different needs.

On the one hand, we need to generate jobs in relatively low-skilled, labour-intensive industries such as textiles and garments, footwear, toys, and simple electronic items which will generate the jobs we desperately require. On the other hand, we need a quite different set of policies catering to R&D-intensive firms in sectors like automobiles, pharmaceuticals, software and advanced electronics in order to move them up the value chain, compete for export markets, and alleviate reliance on foreign imports. Even better off states like Tamil Nadu have internal inequalities and thus require both sets of interventions.

#### Key Questions

- How can we design such sector-specific policies in a consistent manner?
- What incentives will push Indian hi-tech firms to invest more in R&D?
- How can we promote innovation that is simultaneously green and generates jobs?

## Programme

9:30–10:00 am	Inauguration			
	Chair's Address	Prof M Suresh Babu		
		IIT Madras		
	Keynote Address	Prof C. Rangarajan		
		Former Governor, RBI		
10:00–11:30 am	Session I			
	Sectoral Firms			
	Chair	Dr Anush Kapadia		
		IIT- Bombay		
	Speaker I	Dr Madhuri Saripalle		
		KREA University		
	Speaker 2	Mr P Kanniappan		
		Managing Director		
		ZF Commercial Vehicle Control		
		Systems India Ltd		
	Speaker 3	Mr Ramasubramanian V		
		Rane Group		
11:30–11:45 am	Tea Break			
11:45 am-1:15 pm	Session II			
-	Aligning Policy Instruments			
	at the Centre and States			
	Chair	Prof Pulapre Balakrishnan KREA		
	Speaker I	Mr S K Sundaraman		
	-F	Managing Director		
		Shiva Texyarn		
	Speaker 2	Mr S. Narayan IAS		
	·	Member		
		TN Economic Advisory Panel		
	Speaker 3	Dr Aravind Mayaram IAS		
		Former Finance Secrectary, Gol		
	Speaker 4	Mr S. Krishnan, IAS		
		Secretary		
		Ministry of Electronics &		
		Information Technology, Gol.		
	1 1			

I:I5–2:00 pm Lunch

2:00–3:30 pm	Session III		
	State	Experiences	
		Chair	Prof R. Nagaraj
			Distinguished Senior Fellow
			New Political Economy Initiative
			IIT-Bombay
		Speaker I	Ms. Yamini Aiyar
			CEO, Centre for Policy Research
		Speaker 2	Mr Jayesh Ranjan, IAS
		•	Principal Secretary
			Govt of Telangana
		Speaker 3	Mr Vishnu Venugopal, IAS
		I	Guidance TN
		Speaker 4	Mr Sujoy Ghosh
		I	Managing Director
			First Solar
3:30–3:45 pm	Tea Break		
3:45–5:15 pm	Session IV		
	Open-house		
	_	Chair	Dr. A. Kalaiyarasan
			MIDS
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
			Distinguished Senior Fellow
			New Political Economy Initiative
			IIT-Bombay
		Speaker 2	Prof M. Vijayabaskar
			MIDS
		Speaker 3	Prof M. Suresh Babu
			IIT-Madras
		Speaker 4	Prof Pulapre Balakrishnan
		-	KREA
	Conclu	uding Remarks	Dr Anush Kapadia
		-	IIT- Bombay
		Speaker 1 Speaker 2	MIDS Prof R. Nagaraj Distinguished Senior Fellow New Political Economy Initiative IIT-Bombay Prof M. Vijayabaskar MIDS
5.75-5.15 pm		Chair Speaker I	MIDS Prof R. Nagaraj Distinguished Senior Fellow New Political Economy Init IIT-Bombay
		speaker I	<b>-</b> ·
		Speaker I	•
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
		Speaker I	
		Speaker I	
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
		Speaker I	Prof R. Nagaraj
		Speaker 1	•
			Distinguished Senior Fellow
			-
			New Political Economy Initiative
			,
		Speaker 2	
			MIDS
		Speaker 3	Prof M. Suresh Babu
		opeaner o	
		Speaker 4	Prof Pulapre Balakrishnan
			KREA
	Conclu	uding Remarks	-
			IIT- Bombay